

## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/775,485

Applicant(s)

VENKATESAN ET AL.

Examiner

Fatoumata Traore

Art Unit

2136

**All Participants:**(1) Fatoumata Traore.(2) Bea Koempel-Thomas(Reg. No. 58213).**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview a restriction was discussed, whereby the claims were directed to two different inventions. (Group I: Claims 1-8, 27-38 classified in 380/37) and group II: claims 9-26 classified in 380/28). Applicant elected Group I (claims 1-8, 27-38) without traverse and authorized the examiner to cancel non-elected claims 9-26.

NASSER MOAZZAMI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

  
8,141,07